

# Fractional quantum Hall effect in higher Landau levels

Lotfi Belkhir\* and J. K. Jain†

*Department of Physics, S.U.N.Y at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, N.Y, 11794-3800*

(February 1, 2008)

## Abstract

We investigate, using finite size numerical calculations, the spin-polarized fractional quantum Hall effect (FQHE) in the first excited Landau level (LL). We find evidence for the existence of an incompressible state at  $\nu = \frac{7}{3} = 2 + \frac{1}{3}$ , but not at  $\nu = 2 + \frac{2}{5}$ . Surprisingly, the  $7/3$  state is found to be strongest at a finite thickness. The structure of the low-lying excited states is found to be markedly different from that in the lowest LL. This study also rules out FQHE at a large number of odd-denominator fractions in the lowest LL.

PACS numbers: 7340Hm, 7320Dx

A good microscopic understanding of the physics of the fractional quantum Hall effect (FQHE) in the lowest Landau level (LL) is provided by the composite fermion theory<sup>1</sup>. It is straightforwardly explained as the integer quantum Hall effect (IQHE) of a novel kind of particles, namely composite fermions, composed of electrons bound to an even number of vortices. The recent experimental observation of composite fermions by several groups<sup>2</sup> has given further support to this picture. Understanding of FQHE in the first excited LL is not so satisfactory, however.

Several experiments have shown clear evidence of FQHE in the first excited LL as well. Taking account of both spin-subbands of the lowest LL (LLL), the first excited LL corresponds to the experimental filling factor range  $4 > \nu > 2$ . The first observed fraction in this range was  $\nu = \frac{5}{2} = 2 + \frac{1}{2}$ <sup>3,4</sup>. The occurrence of an incompressible state at  $\nu = \frac{5}{2}$  is quite peculiar for several reasons. One, that there is no FQHE at  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ , its counterpart in the LLL. In fact, recent experiments at  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$  showed clear evidence of a Fermi surface of spin-polarized composite fermions at this filling factor. Second,  $\nu = \frac{5}{2}$  is so far the only even denominator fraction observed in single layer systems. Finally, exact finite size calculations, which involve only Coulomb interaction between electrons within one LL, do not seem to find any incompressible state at this filling factor. Several attempts have been made to provide a theoretical understanding of this state. Haldane and Rezayi<sup>5</sup> proposed a spin-singlet wave function for a  $\nu = \frac{5}{2}$  FQHE, which is valid for a hollow-core model interaction. The present authors proposed a different spin-singlet wave function, which is valid for a short-range, *hard-core* model interaction, and possesses the composite fermion structure<sup>6</sup>. Neither of these wave functions is a good representation of the Coulomb ground state, though. It is possible that inclusion of higher Landau level mixing might make one of these relevant to 5/2 FQHE. The present work only deals with fully polarized states.

Another fraction in higher LL at which FQHE has been observed is  $\nu = \frac{7}{3} = 2 + \frac{1}{3}$ . Laughlin's successful wave function at  $1/3$ <sup>7</sup> has been generalized to  $7/3$ <sup>8</sup>, but it does not provide a very satisfactory description of the  $7/3$  state, consistent with a relatively weak FQHE at this fraction. Our calculations confirm this result for strictly two-dimensional (2D) systems. However, we find the surprising result that for wider quantum wells, the exact ground state becomes closer to the Laughlin state, and the FQHE at  $7/3$  becomes stronger; the energy gap acquires its maximum value when the thickness is roughly equal to twice the magnetic length. This is in contrast to the situation in the lowest LL, where the FQHE is in general the strongest at zero thickness.

We model the finite thickness effects, in the square well configuration, by accounting for the spread of the one-electron wave function along the  $z$ -direction. (We have also studied the triangular heterojunction confinement; the results are similar.) We restrict ourselves to the lowest subband; this approximation is not valid for large thicknesses, when the subband spacing is small, and interband transitions become important. In the square well geometry, the (unnormalized)  $z$ -component of the one-electron wave function in the lowest band is given by  $\xi(z) = \cos(z/d)$ , where  $d$  is the thickness of the well. The distances will be expressed in units of the magnetic length,  $\lambda$ . We make use of Haldane's pseudopotentials  $V_m$ <sup>9</sup>, which are the energies of pairs of electrons with relative angular momentum  $m$ :

$$V_m = \int_0^\infty q dq \tilde{V}(q) \left[ L_n\left(\frac{q^2}{2}\right) \right]^2 L_m(q^2) e^{-q^2}. \quad (1)$$

where  $n$  denotes the LL index, and  $\tilde{V}(q)$  the electron-electron interaction in momentum space given by

$$\tilde{V}(q) = \frac{q^2}{\kappa q} \int dz_1 \int dz_2 |\Psi(z_1)|^2 |\Psi(z_2)|^2 e^{-|z_1 - z_2|q} \quad (2)$$

It is convenient to write the Coulomb interaction in the form

$$\tilde{V}(q) = \frac{q^2}{\kappa q} F(q) \quad (3)$$

where the net effect of finite thickness is now summed up in the form factor  $F(q)$ . In the case of a square well potential, it is given by<sup>10</sup>

$$F(q) = \frac{1}{x^2 + 4\pi^2} \left[ 3x + \frac{8\pi^2}{x} - \frac{32\pi^4(1 - \exp^{-x})}{x^2(x^2 + 4\pi^2)} \right]; x = qd \quad (4)$$

Our calculations are performed in the spherical geometry<sup>9</sup>, in which electrons move on the surface of a sphere under the influence of a radial magnetic field. The flux through the surface,  $N_\phi$ , measured in unit of the flux quantum  $\phi_0 = hc/e$ , must be an integer. The degeneracy of the LL is  $N_\phi + 1$  and increases by 2 for each successive LL. It is well known at what values of  $N_\phi$  various incompressible states (e.g. 1/3 and 2/5) occur in the LLL for finite systems<sup>9,11</sup>. It is assumed that in the first excited LL, 7/3 (12/5) occurs at that value of magnetic field for which the degeneracy of the first excited LL is equal to the degeneracy of the LLL at 1/3 (2/5). In all our calculations, we use the thermodynamic values of  $V_m$ . Only electrons in a given LL are considered (i.e., LL mixing is neglected), and it is assumed that they are fully polarized. While comparing the LLL state with the corresponding higher LL state, we use the standard prescription<sup>8</sup> in which the LL index is changed before computing the overlaps. As usual, the eigenstates are labeled by their total orbital angular momentum  $L$ .

Our calculated energy spectra of 6 and 8 electrons at filling fractions of  $\frac{7}{3}$  and  $\frac{12}{5}$ , respectively, are shown in Fig. 1. The two top figures correspond to filling factors 1/3 and 2/5 (in the lowest  $n = 0$  LL) at zero thickness, while the rest of the figures correspond to 7/3 (left column) and 12/5 (right column) for different values of thickness. The spectra in the LLL have been explained in great detail using the composite fermion theory<sup>11</sup>. At  $\nu = \frac{7}{3}$ , the ground state is at  $L = 0$ , and appears to have a gap, which is similar to the situation at 1/3. The collective mode branch, however, seems different from that at 1/3; it extends to smaller  $L$ , and no clear roton minimum may be identified. The spectrum at  $\nu = \frac{12}{5}$  looks completely different from that at  $\nu = 2/5$ ; even the ground state is not uniform. To learn more about the nature of the low lying states, we calculate their overlap with the corresponding states in the LLL, shown in figure 1. While the overlaps are poor for strictly 2D layers ( $d = 0$ ), they increase appreciably as the thickness of the layers is increased. For  $\nu = \frac{12}{5}$  a level crossing transition occurs to a uniform ( $L = 0$ ) ground state at around  $d = 2\lambda$ , but there is no clear energy gap even at large widths.

We now study bigger systems, and focus on the nature of the incompressible ground state at  $\nu = \frac{7}{3}$  for an 8 electron system and at  $\nu = \frac{12}{5}$  for a 10 electron system. The sizes of the Hilbert spaces in the  $L_z = 0$  sector are 8512 and 16660, respectively, and a Lanczos algorithm is used for obtaining the ground state. Figure 2-a shows the overlap of the  $\nu = \frac{7}{3}$  ground state with the LLL 1/3 ground state. At zero thickness, the overlap is slightly below 0.8. As the sample thickness is increased, the overlap increases to 0.93 at  $d = 4$ . Notice that the overlap at  $d = 4$  is slightly larger for  $N = 8$  than for  $N = 6$  shown in figure 1, suggesting that the large overlap is *not* a finite size effect, and that the  $\nu = \frac{7}{3}$  state is well described by the Laughlin wave function. The picture is quite different at  $\nu = \frac{12}{5}$ . Figure 2-b shows the overlap of the ground state at  $\nu = \frac{12}{5}$  for a 10 electron system with the ground state at 2/5. The overlap is extremely poor for all values of the thickness, indicating that the relatively large overlap obtained for  $N = 6$  was probably a finite size effect, and that there is, in fact, no FQHE at 12/5 in the thermodynamic limit.

Of particular interest in the FQHE is the value of the energy gap of the incompressible states, since it is an experimentally accessible quantity. In particular, it was found experimentally<sup>12</sup> that the gap of the 1/3 state decreases rapidly with increasing thickness. Song He *et. al*<sup>13</sup> investigated

this theoretically, and found that the gap decreases because both the Coulomb interaction and the incompressible state become weaker as the thickness is increased, the latter manifested in the decreasing overlap of the ground state with the Laughlin state. In the first excited LL the picture is quite different, since the two effects compete: while the Coulomb interaction gets weaker, the overlap of the ground state with the Laughlin wave function *increases* as a function of thickness. Figure 3 shows the energy gap for the 8 electron system as a function of thickness<sup>14</sup>; it attains its maximum value at  $d \approx 2$ , which is about 40% larger than the  $d = 0$  gap. It would be quite interesting to see experimentally this enhancement of the energy gap.

An insight into the *qualitative* difference between the two LL's can be gained in terms of dimensionless scaled pseudopotentials<sup>13</sup>, defined as

$$f_m = (V_3 - V_m)/(V_1 - V_3) \quad (5)$$

They explicitly satisfy the property that they are invariant under a constant shift of  $V_m \rightarrow V_m + C$ , which neither alters the eigenstates nor the eigenenergies (measured relative to the ground state energy). Furthermore,  $f_1 = -1$  and  $f_3 = 0$  are independent of  $V_m$ . The Laughlin  $1/3$  state is the exact ground state for a hard-core model in which all  $V_m$ 's are zero except  $V_1$ ; i.e., when  $f_1 = -1$  and all other  $f_m$ 's are zero. So the nonzero values of  $f_m$  characterize the deviation from the hard-core model, and thus from the Laughlin state. At  $\nu = 1/3$ , the scaled pseudopotentials increase monotonically with thickness<sup>13</sup>, as shown in figure 4-a, so that at large enough thicknesses, the deviation is so large that the Laughlin state is destroyed.

Figure 4-b shows the thickness dependence of the  $f_m$  in the  $n = 1$  LL. For  $m \geq 7$ ,  $f_m$  do not increase monotonically, but rather decrease first, mark a soft minimum, and then increase as a function of thickness. Moreover,  $f_5$ , which has the dominant effect, decreases for all values of thickness. The deviation from the hard-core model is therefore reduced by finite thickness in the  $n = 1$  LL, which helps understand why the overlap of the  $\nu = \frac{7}{3}$  state with the Laughlin state increases, and reaches a plateau at large thickness.

Since the composite fermion theory relates QHE in higher LL's to FQHE in the lower LL's, our study also puts strong constraints on which fractions may be observed in the LLL. The principal observed fractions in the LLL correspond to the IQHE of composite fermions; e.g.,  $\nu = n/(2n + 1)$  FQHE of electrons corresponds to  $\nu^* = n$  IQHE of composite fermions. The FQHE of composite fermions will lead to new fractions for electrons. Let us assume very large Zeeman energy, i.e., spinless electrons, so that a filling factor  $\nu$  in the first excited LL corresponds to an overall filling factor of  $1 + \nu$ . Then, the prominent FQHE in the first excited LL is expected to occur at  $\nu = 1 + n/(2n + 1)$  and  $\nu = 2 - n/(2n + 1)$ . FQHE of composite fermions at these filling factors corresponds to FQHE of electrons in the LLL at  $\nu = (3n + 1)/(8n + 3)$  and  $\nu = (3n + 2)/(8n + 5)$ , respectively. Our study shows that no FQHE can occur for  $n \geq 2$ . In other words, the only states between  $1/3$  and  $2/5$  where FQHE may occur are  $4/11$  and  $5/13$  (which correspond to  $n = 1$ ). Since  $1/3$  is already quite weak in the first excited LL, it is likely that *no* FQHE is observed in third and higher LL's, which leads to the prediction that no FQHE states other than  $n/(2n + 1)$  are possible in the filling factor range  $2/5 \leq \nu \leq 1/2$ . These predictions are generally consistent with experiments; there is some evidence for  $4/11$ , but no other non- $n/(2n + 1)$  fractions have been observed in this range. These considerations can be easily generalized to other regions of filling factors.

In conclusion, we have found that fractional quantum Hall effect occurs only at  $\nu = \frac{1}{3}$  and  $\nu = \frac{2}{3}$  in the first excited LL, and that it is strongest at a finite thickness. We thank Professor A. MacDonald for fruitful comments on this work. This work was supported by the NSF under Grant no. DMR9318739.

## REFERENCES

- <sup>1</sup> J.K. Jain, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 199 (1989); Adv. Phys. **41**, 105 (1992).
- <sup>2</sup> W. Kang *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 3850 (1993); V.J. Goldman *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 2065 (1994); R.R. Du *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 2944 (1993); D.R. Leadley *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 1906 (1994); R.R. Du *et al.*, Solid State Commun. **90**, 71 (1994); R.L. Willett *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 3846 (1993).
- <sup>3</sup> R. Willett *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 1776, 1987
- <sup>4</sup> J.P. Eisenstein *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 997, 1988; Solid State Comm. **229**, 31 1990.
- <sup>5</sup> F.D.M. Haldane and E.H. Rezayi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 956, 1988.
- <sup>6</sup> L. Belkhir and J.K. Jain, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 643 (1993); L. Belkhir, X.G. Wu, and J. K. Jain, Phys. Rev. B. **48**, 15245, (1993).
- <sup>7</sup> R.B. Laughlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 1395 (1983).
- <sup>8</sup> A.H. MacDonald, *Phys. Rev. B.* **30**, 3550 (1984); A. H. MacDonald, S. M. Girvin, Phys. Rev. B **33**, 4009, 1986.
- <sup>9</sup> F. D. M. Haldane, in *The Quantum Hall Effect*, Chapter 8, Eds. R.E Prange and S.M. Girvin (Springer Verlag, New York, second edition).
- <sup>10</sup> S. Das Sarma and B.A. Mason, Annals Phys. (NY), **163**, 78 (1985).
- <sup>11</sup> G. Dev and J.K. Jain, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2843 (1992); X.G. Wu, G. Dev, and J.K. Jain, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 153 (1993).
- <sup>12</sup> M. Shayegan, J. Jo, Y.W. Suen, M. Santos, and V.J. Goldman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 2916, 1990.
- <sup>13</sup> S. He, F.C. Zhang, X.C. Xie, and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. B **42**, 11376, 1990.
- <sup>14</sup> The gap is computed from the size of the cusp at  $7/3$ .
- \* Address effective September 15, 1994: Dept. of Physics, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405.  
e-mail: belkhir@insti.physics.sunysb.edu.
- † jain@max.physics.sunysb.edu

## FIGURES

FIG. 1. Energy spectra of the low lying states for 6 (left column) and 8 (right column) electrons. The two top spectra are in the lowest ( $n = 0$ ) LL, and the rest in the first excited ( $n = 1$ ) LL. The filling factor and the width are shown above each spectrum. The energies are given in units of  $e^2/\lambda$ .

FIG. 2. (a) Overlap of the ground state wave function at  $\nu = \frac{7}{3}$  (1/3 in the first excited LL), with the ground state in lowest LL for 8 electrons. (a) Overlap of the ground state wave function at  $\nu = \frac{12}{5}$  (2/5 in the first excited LL), with the ground state in lowest LL for 10 electrons.

FIG. 3. Energy gap of  $\nu = \frac{7}{3}$  as a function of thickness.

FIG. 4. The scaled pseudopotentials, defined in the text, as a function of thickness for (a) the lowest and (b) the first excited LL.